

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/776,874	02/06/2001	Iris Pecker	01/21603	8407
7	590 11/05/2004		EXAM	INER
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA SUITE 207 2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Intoncious Cummons	09/776,874	PECKER ET AL.
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard G. Hutson	1652
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F	PTO personnel):	
(1) Richard G. Hutson.	(3)	
(2) <u>Melanie McCollum</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 04 November 2004.		,
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant		'e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	s e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: all of record.	•	
Identification of prior art discussed: none.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	d. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the ger reached, or any other comments: See Continuation St		o if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the an allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	no copy of the amendments that	greed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME Summary of Record of Interview requirements on rever	to the last Office action has alread , OR THE MAILING DATE OF TH ENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	y been filed, APPLICANT IS IIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
		•
	hull	Mut
		HUTSON, PH.D. YY EXAMMER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action	Examiner's sig	nature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative and the examiner discussed the current rejections of record using a set of proposed claim amendments to illicit discussion as to potential ways of overcoming these rejections. With respect to the current 102 rejection, applicants representative submitted that the art of record taught a preparation that comprised a number of additional proteins besides heparanase, such that applicants claim to a "isolated heparanase" was not anticipated by the art. The examiner stated that while he understood applicants' argument, he was not necessaily persuaded and wanted to wait and see the supporting argument presented by applicant's representativ prior to making any decision. With respect to the 112 first paragraph rejection based on a lack of enablement, applicants proposed changing the claims from 90% to 70% homology to SEQ ID NO: 10. The examiner noted that the previous rejection stated that 90% homology was not considered enabled, and thus he thought that certainly 70% homology would also be considered not enabled, but he was open to any arguments presented by applicant's representative. Applicants' representative disagreed with the examiner's position, based on the submitted guidance presented by applicant's specification, such as protein alignments (i.e. Figure 17) as well as the identification of conserved residues known by one of skill in the art. Applicants's representaive agreed that they would be following this interview with a formal amendment of the claims as discussed above, and arguments supporting applicant's position...